UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,550	04/07/2005	Takayuki Kotani	9694-000020/NP	7632
	7590 05/05/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
DLOOMFIELL	ліггэ, іхіі 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Summans	10/530,550	KOTANI ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Borin	1631				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Michael Borin</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Fernando Alberdy</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 03 May 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Some of the issues of 11 full all the arguments presented by applicant. Further, Exalbe maintained, at least for the reason of the lack of tie to a (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUB	2-2 rejections were discussed miner explained that the 101, mashine. ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that w.d.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would render ould render the description of the second render the description of the first second render the first second	consider in jection will er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/Michael Borin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631						

Application No.

Applicant(s)